

Working with Europe and the EU - A New Context

30 September - 2 October 2024

Day 2

How will the new framework of UK-EU relations work?

Governance

Dr Stephen Woolcock, LSE



Governance

Context

- · Compliance matters to the EU
- · Rule of law discussions
- · Market access depends on compliance
- · WTO difficulties

Risks

- · Some mechanisms are untested
- · Escalation and conflict



© EIPA 2024

Governance: Negotiations

Overall structure

3 agreements (with single trade agreement; fragmented dispute settlement provisions)

UK: 10 separate agreements with separate governance

EU: one single agreement with single governance structure

- No role for CJEU (except for EU programmes)
- · Arbitration tribunal measures

Compliance mechanism; no financial penalties; cross-suspension (with some limits)

UK: compliance measures; limits to cross-suspension

EU: compliance and financial penalties; broad cross-suspension

· Horizontal clauses (human rights)

Included; linked to termination, but high threshold criteria

UK: no desire to include

EU: wanted it included (as is its general approach to FTAs); and linked to termination



Governance: TCA Overview

- Part 1: Common and Institutional Provisions
- · Part 2: Trade
- Part 3: Law Enforcement and Judicial Cooperation in Criminal Matters
- · Part 4: Thematic Cooperation
- · Part 5: Participation in Union Programmes
- · Part 6: Dispute Settlement and Horizontal Provisions
- · Part 7: Final Provisions



+ Annexes

© EIPA 2024

5

Basis for Cooperation



Includes essential elements of the partnership

- Democracy, rule of law, and human rights (Article 763(1))
- Fight against climate change (Article 764(1))
- Countering proliferation of weapons of mass destruction (Article 765(1))
- Remedies against breach of essential elements (Article 772) In case of 'serious and substantial' failure, one party can terminate or suspend the operation of the TCA
- Safeguard measures (Article 773)

In case of serious economic, societal, or environmental difficulties of sectorial or regional nature



Termination Clauses

- · Termination of the entire agreement
 - · General with 12 months' notice: Article 779
 - Fast track: Article 772
- Termination of parts (at least 8 specific clauses)
 - Trade (Article 521): 9 months' notice (except Social Security Coordination)
 - Fisheries (Article 509): 9 months' notice; this automatically terminates headings on trade (Heading One), aviation (Heading Two), and road transport (Heading Three)
 - · Law Enforcement (Article 692): 9 months' notice
 - See also: Article 331 (energy), Article 441 (air transport), Article 458 (aviation safety), Article 472 (haulage), Articles 719-720 (Union programmes)



© EIPA 2024

Institutional Architecture: TCA

- Partnership Council (Ministerial level): with Civil Society Forum and Parliamentary Partnership Committee
 - Assisted by Trade Partnership Committee; with 10 Trade Specialised Committees
 - Assisted by 8 Specialised Committees



Institutional Architecture: **Withdrawal Agreement**

- Joint Committee
 - · with 6 Specialised Committees
- New Structures for UK-EU cooperation under the Windsor Framework Agreement
 - · New mechanisms for stakeholder engagement
 - · New structured expert groups
 - · First and deputy First Minister will be part of UK delegation in the Joint Committee
 - Specialised Committee on the implementation of the Protocol on Ireland and Northern Ireland is renamed Specialised Committee on the Implementation of the Windsor Framework (can act as Specialised Committee on the Enhanced Coordination Mechanism on VAT and Excise)




© EIPA 2024

9

Institutional Architecture: Issues





- For EU: no direct involvement of Member States
- For UK
 - Resources

Reinforcement of UK diplomatic presence in Brussels and Member States Reinforcement of resources in Whitehall

· Need for effective coordination within FCDO and cross-government



© EIPA 2024 10

Dispute Settlement: Overview

- · Part 1: Common and Institutional Provisions
- · Part 2: Trade
- Part 3: Law Enforcement and Judicial Cooperation in Criminal Matters
- Part 4: Thematic Cooperation
- Part 5: Participation in Union Programmes
- Part 6: Dispute Settlement and Horizontal Provisions
- · Part 7: Final Provisions



+ Annexes

© EIPA 2024 11

11

Dispute Settlement: TCA (Part Six, Title I)



But exceptions and special procedures

- Level playing field (panel of experts instead of arbitration panel)
- · Law enforcement section
- Fisheries
- · Phases for dispute settlement
 - · Consultation in Partnership Council
 - · Arbitration Tribunal
 - Decentralised enforcement: unilateral measures by the parties (suspension of obligations)



© EIPA 2024 12

Dispute Settlement: TCA-Scope

- General: DSM applies to disputes about the interpretation and application of the TCA and supplementing agreements (Article 735(1))
- Limits
 - Exceptions listed in Article 735(2)
 - DSM does not apply to disputes about the Protocol on Social Security Coordination or its annexes in individual cases
 - In some cases, the provisions fall within the scope of DSM but are excluded from suspension (Article 749(3))

Financial services

Social security coordination (*Heading Four of Part Two*) Union Programmes (*Part Five*)



© EIPA 2024 13

13

Dispute Settlement: TCA



- · From legal to political DSM
 - TCA precludes direct enforcement by individuals (eg in national courts); instead, state enforcement (UK v EU)
 - TCA precludes penalty payments; instead, unilateral measures (suspension of obligations)
 - · Use of DSM will depend on political factors



© EIPA 2024 14

Dispute Settlement: TCA- Process

- Phase 1: Consultations (Partnership Council or expert panels) (Article 738)
- · Phase 2: Arbitration
- Phase 3: Tribunal Ruling
- Phase 4: Remedies for Non-compliance



© EIPA 2024 15

15

Dispute Settlement: TCA-Phase 4 (Remedies for noncompliance)



- · Complaining party can request compensation or suspend obligations under the TCA
- Request of complaining party for temporary compensation (Article 749(1))
- · Suspension of obligations
 - Notification (Article 749(2)) and conditions (Article 749(3))
 - Suspension after 10 days of notification unless there is an appeal (Article 749(10))
 - Respondent party can appeal to the arbitration panel (Article 749(11))



© EIPA 2024 16

Additional Dispute Resolution Mechanisms



Compensatory measures in case of withdrawal or reduction of access (*Article 501*)

Remedial measures and dispute resolution (*Article 506*): failure to comply with Heading Five of Part Two

Level playing field and 'rebalancing measures'

LPF (*Article 374*): subsidies that cause or risks causing significant negative effect on trade LPF (*Article 411*): in case of significant regulatory divergence; this can trigger review (and possible termination) of trade and road transport provisions

Different arrangements for Part Three (law enforcement)
 With possible suspension of Title (or cross-retaliation across other Part Three Titles)



© EIPA 2024 17

17

Level Playing Field: Case Study





© EIPA 2024 18

Level Playing Field: Case Study

Article 367 Prohibited subsidies subject to conditions

- 3. Subsidies for restructuring an ailing or insolvent economic actor without the economic actor having prepared a credible restructuring plan shall be prohibited. The restructuring plan shall be based on realistic assumptions with a view to ensuring the return to long-term viability of the ailing or insolvent economic actor within a reasonable time period. During the preparation of the restructuring plan, the economic actor may receive temporary liquidity support in the form of loans or loan guarantees. Except for small and medium-sized enterprises, an economic actor or its owners, creditors or new investors shall contribute significant funds or assets to the cost of restructuring. For the purposes of this paragraph, an ailing or insolvent economic actor is one that would almost certainly go out of business in the short to medium term without the subsidy.
- 4. Other than in exceptional circumstances, subsidies for the rescue and restructuring of insolvent or ailing economic actors should only be allowed if they contribute to an objective of public interest by avoiding social hardship or preventing a severe market failure, in particular with regard to job losses or disruption of an important service that is difficult to replicate. Except in the case of unforeseeable circumstances not caused by the beneficiary, they should not be granted more than once in any five year period.



© EIPA 2024 19

19

Level Playing Field

- LPF as price for market access (to prevent distortion of competition)
- · Market access depends on
 - · Host state regulation
 - · Regulatory autonomy
- · LPF compensate for regulatory divergence
 - · UK or EU separate regulation and enforcement
 - · Regulatory autonomy
 - · Rebalancing mechanism



© EIPA 2024 20

Level Playing Field

- · Regulatory areas
 - · Subsidy control
 - Competition
 - · State-owned enterprises
 - Taxation
 - · Labour and Social Policy
 - · Environment and Climate
 - · Other rules on trade and sustainability



© EIPA 2024 21

21

Level Playing Field: Enforcement



National authorities and national courts (CJEU is excluded)

Parties agree to create rights of action for private parties in their jurisdiction (except taxation and state-owned enterprises)

- **Dispute settlement under TCA** (except competition) and special procedures in LPF
- Unilateral responses

Remedial action

Rebalancing measures (potentially far-reaching); for labour and social protection; environment and climate protection; subsidy control

Review of trade and other economic parts of the TCA



© EIPA 2024 22

Level Playing Field: Constraints

· General procedural constraints

Transparency for all LPF measures

Obligation to give notice and allow for comments on drafts of major regulatory measures

Impact assessment

Regulatory register

· Specific constraints for each area

Subsidy, competition, state-owned enterprises

Labour and social policy

Environment and climate

Taxation

Rules on trade and sustainability



© EIPA 2024 23

23

Level Playing Field: Subsidy regime

· Substantive constraints

Prohibition of certain subsidies, justification, proportionality

No need for ex-ante control

Impact on trade and investment between EU and UK

Enforcement

Domestic

independent bodies (Article 371)

national courts (Article 372)

Dispute settlement under TCA (Article 374)

Significant negative effect on trade or investment

Consultations after request

Unilateral remedial measures

Other party can initiate accelerated arbitration procedure (assesses only effect on trade)



© EIPA 2024 24

Dispute Settlement: WA/WF



- Joint Committee Consultations (Article 169) and Arbitration Panel (Article 170)
- But also jurisdiction of the CJEU binding decision on interpretation of EU law concepts (Article 174)
- · But also cross-suspension

In case of non-compliance with arbitration ruling under the WA, suspension of obligation under the TCA possible (*Article 749(4) TCA and 178(2)(b) WA*)

- Windsor Framework (Article 12(4))
 - Infringement proceedings before CJEU (Articles 258, 260 TFEU)
 - · National courts can request preliminary ruling from CJEU



© EIPA 2024 25

25

Dispute Settlement: Cross-suspension



Article 749(4)

In case of persistent non-compliance with a ruling of an arbitration panel under an earlier agreement (eg the WA)

Complaining party can suspend obligation of the provisions that are within the scope of *Article 735*

Article 178(2) WA

Refers to 'any other agreement between the Union and the United Kingdom' (eg the TCA)



© EIPA 2024 26

Review provisions

- General review clause: Article 776 TCA
 - · Joint review in five-year intervals
 - But no specifics about process or timing (e.g. duration of review)
- · Review clauses for committees
 - E.g. Article 8(3)(a): Trade Specialised Committees can review the implementation of the TCA
- · Specific review clauses
 - Article 126: services and investment (Title II of Heading One); follows Article 776
 - Article 201(section 2): cross-border data flows review (after three years)
 - Article 275: review of geographical indications
 - Article 411 (section 4): rebalancing review (with specific time-frames)
 - · Article 510: fisheries
 - Article 691: Part Three (Law Enforcement and Judicial Co-operation in Criminal Matters); follows Article 776
 - · Article 732: review of participation of UK in Union programmes
 - Annex 4 (section 2): aluminium quota review
 - Annex 5 (section 3): review of PSR for batteries of electric cars



© EIPA 2024 27

27

Reviewsrelevant dates

- · Relevant dates for upcoming measures, reviews and sunset clauses
 - · Rebalancing review available 1 January 2025
 - Horizontal review 1 January 2026
 - · Aluminium quota review available 1 January 2026
 - · 30 June 2026: Energy title sunset clause
 - 30 June 2026: Adjustment period for access to waters ends
 - 2027: Rules of Origin for electric vehicles and batteries change (with no further change permitted until 2032)
 - 2030: Fisheries review, including access to waters
 - 2032: Amendment of Rules of Origin for electric vehicles and batteries possible
 - 2036: Social Security Coordination protocol terminates



© EIPA 2024 28

Recap - Day 2

- The Withdrawal Agreement (2019) and the Trade And Cooperation Agreement (2021) constitute the cornerstone of the bilateral relationship between the UK and the EU
- The Withdrawal Agreement covers citizens' rights and special arrangements for Northern Ireland (now part of the Windsor Framework)
- The TCA is a free trade agreement with the EU that mainly covers tariff free access for goods and more limited access for services
 - Both sides retain regulatory autonomy but agree to co-operate to facilitate market access
 - Both sides also seek to co-operate on a wider range of areas of mutual interest (research, digital, climate change, energy)
- Governance under both agreements is through a formal structure of top-level committees and technical working groups
 - · The interaction with the Commission has shifted from informal contacts to more formal committee work
 - Regular reviews provide opportunities for active engagement and influence
- A complex dispute settlement mechanism has been put in place to resolve disputes through arbitration without recourse to domestic courts (exceptions apply in case of Northern Ireland)
- The level-playing field rules have more effective enforcement mechanisms but have high thresholds for application



© EIPA 2024 29

29

